

## **FÖRENINGEN EKONOMERNA VID STOCKHOLMS UNIVERSITET**

**Board meeting #7**  
**Wednesday 2019-09-11**  
**The Board Room, House 1**  
**Time: 18:00-22:00**

§.1 **Call to Order**

Alexander Riese called to order at 18:04.

*Emilia Ishak was adjusted in with speaking rights at 18:06.*

§.2 **Appointment of Secretary**

Liina-Sofia Neitenbach was appointed secretary

§.3 **Appointment of Adjusters**

Benjamin Söderman was appointed first adjuster and Mattis Jämtbäck was appointed second adjuster.

§.4 **Adjustment of voting rights**

§.5	<b>Attendees</b>	<b>Voting right</b>	<b>Speaking right</b>
	Alexander Riese	.	.
	Frida Johansson	.	.
	Mattis Jämtbäck	.	.
	Carl Robertson	.	.
	Robert Kisch	.	.
	Lovisa Enholm	.	.
	Liina-Sofia Neitenbach	.	.
	Marie Linden	.	.
	Benjamin Söderman	.	.
	Emilia Ishak	.	.

§.6 **Adoption of Agenda**

Points 21, 22, 23 were added and all other points were adjusted accordingly.

§.7 **Follow up of to-do list**

- Marie sent an email to Master's Club

- Benjamin and Liina have investigated software for the GSD-room
- Mattis spoke to the OMG regarding cleaning of Festningen
- Alexander and Frida got a quote for sound proofing rooms in Festningen
- Mattis has investigated which Xbox to purchase
- Lovisa, Benjamin and Mattis have investigated corporate request as strategic project

§.8 **Adoption of previous protocols**

Protocol for board meeting #5 was adopted and added to the archives with editorial changes.

§.9 **Check-in**

Processed.

§.10 **Debriefing, all areas of responsibility**

Alexander Riese: Attended MWW Scavenger Hunt. Wrote test regarding our license to serve alcohol together with Mattis. Performed more performance reviews. Visited Academic Work during Amazing Race. Had a meeting with Folkuniversitet. Been in contact with JF regarding our intro-pub event. Set up new way to order access cards to Festningen. Had a visit regarding sound-proofing Festningen. Daily duties.

Frida Johansson: Attended SFN, presented Student council together with the education committee for FEK1 and 2 as well as Reklam & PR 1 and 2, attended the institution board meeting at SBS. Daily duties

Mattis Jämtbäck: Had a startup meeting with the event committee. Had the first OMG-meeting of the semester. Had performance review with Alexander. Attended MWW Scavenger Hunt and Fadderiet day party at Hallwylska. Looked at a possible venue for Exam pub with the generals.

Carl Robertson: Working on association budget, revised career choice budget, attended day party at Hallwylska, daily duties

Robert Kisch: Working on association budget, attended day party at Hallwylska, daily duties.

Lovisa Enholm: Had meeting with BDO, attended Day Party at Hallwylska, daily duties

Liina-Sofia Neitenbach: Met with the marketing committee for their first meeting. Attended Hallwylska. Daily Duties.

Marie Lindén: Meeting with IC regarding the plan for the year. Attended day party at Hallwylska.

Benjamin Söderman: Had a meeting with project leader of ED to discuss software, attended day party at Hallwylska, updated our membership pricing, daily duties

§.11      **Debriefing, Treasury**

Processed.

§.12      **Debriefing, Operational Management Group**

Processed.

§.13      **Notification item: Career Choice Budget Approved**

Rapporteur: Carl Robertson & Robert Kisch                      **(Appendix 1)**

The budget for career choice was added to the archives.

§.14      **Decision item: Proposition Proposal for Association Meeting #1 19/20:  
Application for Union Status 2022-2025**

Rapporteur: Frida Johansson    **(Appendix 2)**

The board discussed benefits of becoming a union and receiving union status.

**I claim**

**that** the Board approves the proposition for the application of union status 2022-2025

**Decision**

**that** the Board approves the proposition for the application of union status 2022-2025



The board discussed how we can build on the good and positive aspects that the association provides and how we can dismantle the bad or negatively viewed aspects of the association and its social workings.

This discussion will be brought up again at a later state.

- §.18      **Discussion item: Association Budget 2019/20**  
Rapporteur: Carl Robertson & Robert Kisch      **(Appendix 6)**

The Board expressed what changes or suggestions they would like to see on the association budget.

*The meeting was paused at 22:10.*

*The meeting was reopened at 22:16.*

- §.19      **Discussion item: U9 Discussion Item**  
Rapporteur: Alexander Riese      **(Appendix 7)**

The board discussed what they would like brought up in the U9 meetings. Everything from getting new members to making events more appealing to talking about challenges the association currently faces.

- §.20      **Discussion item: Developing the Role of the OMG**  
Rapporteur: Mattis Jämtbäck      **(Appendix 8)**

The board discussed how to improve the Operational Management Group in terms of providing more incentive to joining a committee as a Chair or Vice Chair and the role as an OMG-member. Different options were discussed to better the association as a whole.

- §.21      **Discussion Item: Reasons to study at SBS**  
Rapporteur: Frida Johansson      **(Appendix 9)**

The board discussed how SBS can improve to get students to continue to apply to the Stockholm Business School.

- §.22      **Information Item: Key Card Applications**  
Rapporteur: Alexander Riese      **(Appendix 10)**



Rapporteur: Frida Johansson

**Background:** There has been an ongoing investigation since 2015 on if it would be beneficial for Föreningen Ekonomerna to apply for Union Status for Stockholm Business School (SBS). The investigation concluded that it would be beneficial to become a union since it would entail greater legitimacy, responsibility and control over educational surveillance at SBS, financial benefits that would enable economic growth, as well as a membership in SSCO which would enable Föreningen Ekonomerna to offer its members the SSSB housing queue. Furthermore, it would give Föreningen Ekonomerna independence from SUS and its political ties.

Union Status was applied for in November 2018 for the period 2019-2022. It was denied due to unclear application instructions and thus different interpretations of the member count from Föreningen Ekonomerna and SUS which both applied for union status for SBS. An extensive process for appealing the decision was started in late 2018. It was denied in May 2019.

With the previous Board of 2018/2019's recommendation on working towards increasing the membership count while adding member value, as well as the reasons listed above, it is recommended that the Board and the Association Meeting approves the proposal to apply for Union Status in 2021 for the period 2022-2025.

### **I claim**

**That** the Board approves the proposition for the application of union status 2022-2025

### **Appendix 3**

#### **Decision item: Proposition Proposal for Association Meeting #1 19/20:**

#### **Remuneration of the Treasury**

Rapporteur: Alexander Riese

**Background:** The Board of 2018/19 investigated the possibility and benefits of giving the option of remuneration to the Treasury, in the same way it is currently offered to the President and Vice President. This entails a monthly remuneration equal to the CSN benefit amount for 10 months. The background to this proposal is an increased workload on the treasury and the difficulties of working alongside your studies while having the role as Treasurer or Vice Treasurer. We, the Board therefore conclude that this effort is worth compensating.

We have continued investigating the benefit of such a remuneration and believe it is beneficial to introduce. Similarly, to how the President's and Vice President's remuneration was left to the Association Meeting, we believe this should also be approved by the Association Meeting.

The proposed Association Budget for 2019/20 includes remuneration for the current treasury and if approved, they will be offered the possibility of remuneration for the current year.

### **I claim**

**That** the Board approves the proposition for the Remuneration of the Treasury

## **Appendix 4**

### **Discussion item: Purpose of Board Meetings**

Rapporteur: Alexander Riese

**Background:** As a board, we have had a fair share of unproductive, although interesting discussions during board meetings. There is often a sense of no progress being made on the topics discussed and an excessive amount of time being drained by these discussion items.

My belief is that board meetings are rare possibilities for the entire board to gather to discuss and carve out our strategic vision and goals for our year as a board. With that in mind I believe the focus of board meetings should be discussions regarding our common goals and what the aim of potential changes/projects should be. When we have reached a consensus of what our overall goal is, then Strategic Project Groups can be formed to figure out the specifics and discuss concrete actions in smaller groups outside of board meetings. These suggestions and findings can then at a later time be brought up again as decision items (or discussion items) based on the findings of the Project Group.

The purpose of this discussion item is for us as a board to reach an agreement on what the general purpose of discussion items are, so that we approach them from a similar perspective and don't waste time discussing two parallel topics on two different strategic levels.

I want to hear your thoughts on how we should approach this, as well as your view of what the purpose of our board meetings and our job as a board is.



## Appendix 5

### **Discussion item: Culture in the Association**

Rapporteur: Frida Johansson

**Background:** With the new students arriving and being introduced to the association I think that it is important that we talk about what culture (culture being described in the simplest words by how we do things here) we have within the association, what culture we want and what we can do to help aimed for culture grow within the association. Some things to reflect upon are what kind of behavior we allow, what expectations we set, how there are upheld and if they are in need of changing, as well as: if a change is needed - how can we go about it? What can be done to actively work with our culture and how can we change our behavior so that the culture we want seeps down through the rest of the association? This is important in order to help our current active members grow as individuals as well as help the new students to feel welcome into the association.

## Appendix 6

### **Discussion item: Association Budget 2019/20**

Rapporteur: Carl Robertson & Robert Kisch

**Background:** The treasury is working on the association budget and want to get it done as soon as possible. We ask you for any points you would like added and any input would be much appreciated. The budget for the previous year is added as an attachment so that you have a reference point.

## Appendix 7

### **Discussion item: U9 Discussion Item**

Rapporteur: Alexander Riese

**Background:** U9 is just around the corner and it's time to settle on what we want discussed in the President's Room. As a reminder, the President's Room is for discussing any strategic and operational matters regarding the Association. At a previous board meeting we discussed the engagement and structure of our Operational Management Group being a potential subject. What are your thoughts now?

## Appendix 8

### Discussion item: Developing the Role of the OMG

Rapporteur: Mattis Jämtbäck

**Background:** The Operational Management Group is supposed to be responsible for most of the Associations operational workload. Before we stepped on and during the summer, the OMG was a common topic of discussion and I know that many board members had thoughts and opinions of what could be improved in the OMG role, as well as what problems lie in the current state of the OMG. During the past few semesters many parts of the OMG have had some difficulties recruiting their successors and struggled overall with recruitment, whereas other parts have grown and are very successful in their operations.

Today the OMG hold meetings once a month where current projects and topics directly related to the OMG are discussed. This responsibility does not necessarily give a lot of room to engage with Association wide topics and improvements. I want to hear what your thoughts are of the way the OMG currently works, what can be improved and how we can make being part of the OMG an equal role to that of being a committee chair. Please note that I don't necessarily want the discussion to be regarding the current state of the OMG, but rather what changes can be made to improve the role as an OMG member.