Call to Order
Alexander Riese called to order at 17:59.

Appointment of Secretary
Mattis Jämtbäck was appointed secretary.

Appointment of Adjusters
Frida Johansson was appointed first adjustor and Marie Linden was appointed second adjustor.

Adjustment of voting rights

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attendees</th>
<th>Voting right</th>
<th>Speaking right</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alexander Riese</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frida Johansson</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mattis Jämtbäck</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carl Robertson</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Kisch (19:13-)</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lovisa Enholm</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liina-Sofia Neitenbach</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marie Linden</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benjamin Söderman</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emilia Ishak</td>
<td></td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andreas Wassenius</td>
<td></td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adoption of Agenda
The agenda was adopted.

Follow up of to-do list
The board has started to prepare for the Association meeting and will continue this until the meeting occurs.
§.8 Adoption of previous protocols
The protocols for meeting #8 and #9 was adopted and added to the archive with editorial changes.

§.9 Check-in
Processed.

§.10 Debriefing, all areas of responsibility
Alexander Riese: Represented the Association at Doktorspromoveringen. Continued preparations for Association Meeting #1 and most importantly found a new speaker. Worked to fix a temporary alcohol license in Rotundan. Daily duties.

Frida Johansson: Represented the association at Doktorspromoveringen. Attended the campusrådsittning. Held the first Student council of the semester. Daily duties.

Mattis Jämtbäck: Represented the Association at Doktorspromoveringen. Been in contact with the Generals and worked on getting Rotundan ready for Master’s Banquette. Put together the activity plans for the Committees.

Carl Robertson: Represented the Association at Doktorspromoveringen, met with AMG and talked about investment plans, approved budgets. Daily duties.

Robert Kisch: Met with AMG and discussed future improvements within the group, approved budgets, attended exam-pub.


Liina Neitenbach: Have given the social media content group more responsibilities regarding Instagram. represented at exampub and daily duties.
Marie Linden: Attended exam pub. In contact with masters club regarding masters banquet. Daily duties.

Benjamin Söderman: Did minor restructuring of the website, had a meeting with the IT-commitee, daily duties.

§.11  
Debriefing, Treasury

§.12  
Debriefing, Operational Management Group

§.13  
Notification item: Budget for European Career Tour Approved  
Rapporteur: Carl Robertson & Robert Kisch  
(Appendix 1)

The budget for ECT has been put to the archives.

§.14  
Notification item: Project Plan Halloween Sittning Approved  
Rapporteur: Mattis Jämtbäck  
(Appendix 2)

The project plan for the Halloween sittning was not added to the archive. The board agreed that we should investigate using Bonsai for ticket selling beforehand instead of selling tickets at the door.

§.15  
Decision item: Ekonomernas Dagar Budget 2020  
Rapporteur: Carl Robertson & Robert Kisch  
(Appendix 3)

Claim

that the Board approves the budget for Ekonomernas Dagar 2020

Decision

that the Board approves the budget for Ekonomernas Dagar 2020

The meeting was paused at 18:58

The meeting was un-paused at 19:10

§.16  
Discussion item: Continuation of work regarding Studenthälsan  
Rapporteur: Benjamin Söderman  
(Appendix 4)
The board agrees that we want to collaborate more with Studenthälsan and that it is an unused asset. The board discussed who should be a contact person for Studenthälsan.

Robert was adjusted in at 19:13

The board decided that a good start is to have a meeting with Studenthälsan and discuss how we can cooperate with them. Having a workshop with the entire board could also be discussed before the meeting to figure out what we want to bring to the meeting. Alexander and Frida are to take a first meeting with Studenthälsan to see how we can proceed.

Discussion item: Board Meetings More Often
Rapporteur: Alexander Riese (Appendix 5)

The board thinks it is a good idea to get together more often and have a breakfast meeting as a more informal meeting where we can catch up on what is going on in the association and get input on different matters.

The issue of finding a time due to school was discussed as well as the fact that this enhances the workload. It was also discussed whether or not this should be implanted as a mandatory meeting.

We will make a trial run of a breakfast meeting in early November.

Emilia was adjusted out at 19:47

Meeting was paused at 19:58

Meeting was un-paused at 20:11

Discussion item: “Rose of the Week”
Rapporteur: Alexander Riese (Appendix 6)

The risk of being perceived as bias when giving out the Rose of the Week/Month, an internal reward system to reward one active member or group monthly, was discussed. The board also discussed the risk of this overshadowing the nominations for medals given by the Ministry of Marshals. Would this be a complement or a threat to the medals?
On the one hand the board acknowledges the issue of everybody not having insight into all projects and how that would translate to comparing achievements/contributions between members. On the other hand, however, the reward could incentivize the board to acknowledge hard working members.

The board discussed how and where the Rose of the Week/Month would be given and the idea of a diploma at the medal ceremony.

A strategic project was formed which Mattis Jämbäck, Liina-Sofia Neitenbach, Alexander Riese and Robert Kisch will take part in.

§.19 Additional items

§.20 Up-coming events

- Master’s Banquet on the 4th of October 2019.
- Café Opera with Fadderiet on the 5th of October 2019.
- Laserdome with Fadderiet on the 7th of October 2019.

§.21 To-do list

- The board will prepare for the Association meeting.
- Frida will contact Studenthälsan and find a date for a meeting.
- Alex will set a date for a trial breakfast meeting.
- Liina and Alex will look into a new logo for the downstairs

§.22 Next meeting

Wednesday the 9th of October 2019.

§.23 Meeting concluded

Meeting was concluded at 20:56
Appendix 1

Notification item: Budget for European Career Tour Approved  
Rapporteur: Carl Robertson & Robert Kisch

Information: Föreningen Ekonomerna, the Business Association at Stockholm University presents the fifth take on the European Career Tour. This project aims to give students a better background for a future international career. European Career Tour is a project arranged by the International Committee of Föreningen Ekonomerna, which offers a trip to a new major European business city each year (in this year’s case, two cities will be visited during the trip) for all members of the Association. We have approved their budget.

Appendix 2

Notification item: Project Plan Halloween Sittning Approved  
Rapporteur: Mattis Jämtbäck

Background: I have approved the Project Plan for the Halloween Sittning.

Appendix 3

Decision item: Ekonomernas Dagar Budget 2020  
Rapporteur: Carl Robertson & Robert Kisch

Background: The Project Managers of Ekonomernas Dagar have revised their budget according to the feedback they received after it being declined.

We Claim

that the Board approves the budget for Ekonomernas Dagar 2020.
Discussion item: Continuation of work regarding Studenthälsan

Rapporteur: Benjamin Söderman

Information: Studenthälsan is a department of Stockholm University which aims to be complementary of the national healthcare system. They offer counseling in both mental- and physical healthcare, and often try to reach out to students to show their existence and offer their services.

The last board, especially the Head of Marketing, was in contact with Studenthälsan to discuss how to offer and market their services to our members. To engage with students, their activities consists mostly of workshops and lectures.

Now we have been contacted by them again to proceed with the collaboration. They have among other ideas proposed an idea of an introduction lecture for new students.

I would like to discuss how we would like to proceed in this matter. Who should become the contact person to simplify the collaboration with them, how should we market them and your thoughts in general?

Appendix 5

Discussion item: Board Meetings More Often

Rapporteur: Alexander Riese

Information: With inspiration from U9 I want to discuss the pros, cons and feasibility of making board meetings a weekly thing. My suggestion if this would be implemented would be to keep regular board meetings every other week as normal and potentially have a breakfast the odd weeks that we don’t have regular board meetings. I believe it would be beneficial for them to have very separate purposes. For instance, the breakfast meetings could be less formal without any decisions, and with the intention of the board to discuss what is going on within the association in terms of events, work and problems. The goal of such a meeting would be partially to give the board better oversight and inclusions in what happens, but also to make our regular board meetings more efficient so we don’t sit late every time with long discussion items. It would also be nice for us to get together more often and provide members a time when all of us will be in Festningen that isn’t during an evening.

Appendix 6
Discussion item: “Rose of the Week”
Rapporteur: Alexander Riese

Information: With inspiration from U9, I want to discuss the idea of a “rose of the week”. This is a concept held at SASSE where the board each week recognises a person or project who has done a good job within the association. I believe this could show that we see what happens in all the different project groups and show extra appreciation for those who go above and beyond. It may not be feasible to start with this being a weekly thing, but maybe monthly or even twice a semester. The questions I would like answered are:

1. Is this something we believe would benefit our members?
2. Does our current workload allow us to have this as a weekly/monthly thing?
3. How would the information go out?
4. What would we reward the recipient with?

These questions go into the details of how such a thing would work, but I see it as a potential project for the board and it may not be necessary to reach a conclusion in all these questions during the meeting.