

FÖRENINGEN EKONOMERNA VID STOCKHOLMS UNIVERSITET

Board meeting #11
Wednesday 2019-10-23
The Board Room, House 1
Time: 16:00-22:00

§.1 **Call to Order**

Alexander Riese called the meeting to order at 16:03.

§.2 **Appointment of Secretary**

Robert Kisch was appointed secretary.

§.3 **Appointment of Adjusters**

Mattis Jämtbäck was appointed first adjuster.

Benjamin Söderman was appointed second adjuster.

§.4 **Adjustment of voting rights**

Carl Robertson adjusted his vote to Robert Kisch.

Liina Neitenbach put down her vote.

Lovisa Enholm adjusted her vote to Benjamin Söderman.

Emilia Ishak was adjusted in at 16:03 with speaking rights.

§.5	Attendees	Voting right	Speaking right
	Alexander Riese	.	.
	Frida Johansson	.	.
	Mattis Jämtbäck	.	.
	Carl Robertson (-18:57)	.	.
	Robert Kisch	.	.
	Lovisa Enholm (-18:57)	.	.
	Liina-Sofia Neitenbach (-22:21)	.	.
	Marie Linden	.	.
	Benjamin Söderman	.	.
	Emilia Ishak (16:03-18:57)	.	.
	Sanna Kamil (18:57-22:48)	.	.
	Emma Axelsson (18:57-22:08)	.	.
	Anna Sundstedt (18:57-22:48)	.	.
	Siri Edgren (18:57-22:48)	.	.

Alexandre Guillemand (19:21-19:48) .
Frida Sjöberg (19:58-20:15) .
Luisa Dahlstedt (19:05-19:20) .

§.6 **Adoption of Agenda**

§.29 was moved to §.22.
§.30 was moved to §.23.
§.25 was moved to §.24.
§.22 was moved to §.25.
All the other points were adjusted accordingly.

§.7 **Follow up of to-do list**

- Frida Johansson and Alexander Riese had a meeting with Studenthälsan, also discussed further cooperation with Studenthälsan.
- Alexander Riese is looking into booking a breakfast meeting next week, week 44.

§.8 **Adoption of previous protocols**

No protocols were adopted.

§.9 **Check-in**

Processed.

§.10 **Debriefing, all areas of responsibility**

Alexander Riese: Attended the Master's Banquet. Attended the Finsittning. Attended Laserdome with Fadderiet. Attended a sittning with all campus associations. Had a feedback conference call with Bonsai Campus. Met with Studenthälsan together with Frida. Finished the last performance reviews. Attended an evaluation meeting with the Generals, together with Marie and Mattis. Attended the Meet n' Greet. Attended Juridiska Föreningens recentsiorsittning. Attended and finished preparations for Föreningsmöte #1. Attended campusrådet. Had a conference call with Lundaekonomerna, Uppsala Ekonomerna and SASSE regarding Gräddgasquen. Attended workshop with MÄ. Had a meeting with Maria and Vladimir from SBS regarding rent of festningen and update on our operations. Attended the Sport Committee's padel event together with Ryska Posten. Daily duties.

Frida Johansson: had a meeting with the Education Committee about what responsibilities should fall under EC in the future and what should lie under the Student Council and how to make this transition smoother, had a meeting with Studenthälsan regarding future cooperation, prepared for and attended the Association meeting, attended Samhällsvetenskapliga Fakultetsrådet and the Meet and Greet. Had a meeting with the Head of the Master Marketing program regarding how to better engage students. Was in Gävle and attended FEKIS. Part took in the MÄ workshop and visited JF at their Reccenciorsittning as well as part took in the Campusrådssittning. Met together with Alexander, Maria and Vladimir to discuss the lease of Festningen. Attended the Institution Board Meeting.

Mattis Jämtbäck: Attended the Campusrådssittningen and Finsittningen. Organized and held OMG Meeting #2. Attended the Meet & Greet. Prepared and attended the Association Meeting. Had an evaluation meeting regarding Fadderiet with the generals. Attended the Padel event held by Sports Committee and Ryska Posten. Daily duties.

Lovisa Enholm: had meeting with business committee, Aspia and Unpotential, discussed dates for kick-off with EY, attended Master's Banquet, Campusrådssittningen and Finsittningen, been in contact with Career Day, prepared for and attended Association Meeting #1 and Meet & Greet, took part of MÄ Workshop #2, daily duties

Carl Robertson: Attended association meeting, approved budgets, attended MÄ workshop, ordered things for festningen, daily duties

Robert Kisch: Attended the Association meeting, approved budgets, attended MÄ-Workshop, daily duties

Liina-Sofia Neitenbach: Met with marketing committee and the social media content group. Attended campusrådssittning, finsittning, and association meeting #1. Daily Duties

Benjamin Söderman: Attended Master's Banquet, Finsittningen, Campusrådssittningen, Meet & Greet, MÄ Workshop, Association meeting. Daily duties.

Marie Linden: Attended Master's Banquet, Finsittningen, Campusrådssittningen, Meet & Greet, MÄ Workshop, Association

meeting. Had meeting with a student willing to possibly start a club.
Daily duties.

§.11 **Debriefing, Treasury**

Processed.

§.12 **Debriefing, Operational Management Group**

Processed.

§.13 **Notification item: Per Capsulam: Association Auditor Fiscal Year 18/19**

Rapporteur: Carl Robertson (Appendix 1)

Processed.

§.14 **Notification item: Per Capsulam: Association Budget Fiscal Year 19/20**

Rapporteur: Alexander Riese (Appendix 2)

Processed.

§.15 **Notification item: Per Capsulam: Motion to Association Meeting Regarding Removal of Ledamot med Förvaltningsansvar**

Rapporteur: Alexander Riese (Appendix 3)

Processed.

§.16 **Notification item: Per Capsulam: Motion to Association Meeting Regarding Including Election Committee in Summon**

Rapporteur: Alexander Riese (Appendix 4)

Processed.

§.17 **Notification item: Halloween Sittning Project Plan Approved**

Rapporteur: Mattis Jämtbäck (Appendix 5)

The project plan for Halloween Sittning was put to the archive with editorial changes.

§.18 **Notification item: Ski Trip 2020 Project Plan Approved**

Rapporteur: Mattis Jämtbäck (Appendix 6)

The project plan for Ski Trip 2020 was put to the archive with the following changes:

- The date was updated.
- The private emails were removed from contact details.

§.19 **Notification item: Meet n' Greet Budget Approved**
Rapporteur: Carl Robertson & Robert Kisch **(Appendix 7)**
Meet n' Greet Budget was put to the archive.

§.20 **Notification item: Silicon Valley Tour Budget Approved**
Rapporteur: Carl Robertson & Robert Kisch **(Appendix 8)**

Silicon Valley Tour Budget was put to the archive.
Replaces the previously approved budget.

§.21 **Notification item: Halloween Sittning Budget Approved**
Rapporteur: Carl Robertson & Robert Kisch **(Appendix 9)**

The Halloween Sittning Budget was put to the archive with editorial changes.

§.22 **Discussion item: Date for Grand Meeting**
Rapporteur: Alexander Riese & Mattis Jämtbäck **(Appendix 17)**

The board discussed a possible date and reached the conclusion to hold it the 5th or 7th of November.

§.23 **Discussion item: U9 Discussion item**
Rapporteur: Alexander Riese **(Appendix 18)**

The board discussed what questions to bring up at the next U9 in Växjö.

§.24 **Discussion item: Corporate Requests for Sponsorship**
Rapporteur: Lovisa Enholm **(Appendix 13)**

The board thoroughly discussed the pros and cons of updating the process for corporate request. Potential solutions for the workload was suggested and it was suggested to investigate how to integrate this on Podio.

Meeting was paused at 17:29.

Meeting was reopened at 18:57.

Carl Robertson was adjusted out at 18:57.

Lovisa Enholm was adjusted in at 18:57.

Emilia Ishak was adjusted out at 18:57.

Siri Edgren was adjusted in at 18:57.

Emma Axelsson was adjusted in at 18:57.

Anna Sundstedt was adjusted in at 18:57.

Sanna Kamil was adjusted in at 18:57.

§.25

Decision item: Election of Generals SS20

Rapporteur: The Election Committee

(Appendix 10)

The Election Committee were invited to present the different applicants. Afterwards, the interviewees were invited into the room one at a time where the board interviewed them. Afterwards the board had a discussion about the different potential applicants.

Luisa Dahlstedt was adjusted in at 19:05.

Luisa Dahlstedt was adjusted out at 19:20.

Alexandre Guillemand was adjusted in at 19:21.

Alexandre Guillemand was adjusted out at 19:48.

Frida Sjöberg was adjusted in via link at 19:58.

Frida Sjöberg was adjusted out at 20:15.

Meeting was paused at 20:15.

Meeting was resumed at 20:42.

Emma Axelsson was adjusted out at 22:08.

Meeting was paused at 22:12.

Meeting was resumed at 22:21.

Liina was adjusted out 22:21.

Siri Edgren was adjusted out at 22:48.

Anna Sundstedt was adjusted out at 22:48.

Sanna Kamil was adjusted out at 22:48.

Appendix 1

Notification item: Per Capsulam: Association Auditor Fiscal Year 18/19

Rapporteur: Carl Robertson

Information: The Board elected Anna Forsslund as auditor for the fiscal year 18/19 through a per capsulam decision on the 16th September 2019.

Appendix 2

Notification item: Per Capsulam: Association Budget Fiscal Year 19/20

Rapporteur: Alexander Riese

Information: The Board approved the association budget for the fiscal year 19/20 to be sent in to association meeting #1 through a per capsulam decision on the 30th September 2019.

Appendix 3

Notification item: Per Capsulam: Motion to Association Meeting Regarding Removal of Ledamot med Förvaltningsansvar

Information: The Board approved a motion to be sent in to association meeting #1 regarding the removal of Ledamot med Förvaltningsansvar through a per capsulam decision on the 1st October 2019.

Appendix 4

Notification item: Per Capsulam: Motion to Association Meeting Regarding Including Election Committee in Summon

Information: The Board approved a motion to be sent in to association meeting #1 regarding the inclusion of the Election Committee in summons through a per capsulam decision on the 1st October 2019.

Appendix 5

Notification item: Halloween Sittning Project Plan Approved

Rapporteur: Mattis Jämtbäck

Information: I approved the updated Project Plan for Halloween Sittningen 2019 on the 8th October 2019.

Appendix 6

Notification item: Ski Trip 2020 Project Plan Approved

Rapporteur: Mattis Jämtbäck

Information: I approved the Project Plan for the Ski Trip 2020 on the 15th October 2019.

Appendix 7

Notification item: Meet n' Greet Budget Approved

Rapporteur: Carl Robertson & Robert Kisch

Information: We approved the Budget for Meet n' Greet 2019 on the 30th September 2019.

Appendix 8

Notification item: Silicon Valley Tour Budget Approved

Rapporteur: Carl Robertson & Robert Kisch

Information: We approved the Budget for Silicon Valley Tour 2019 on the 11th October 2019.

Appendix 9

Notification item: Halloween Sittning Budget Approved

Rapporteur: Carl Robertson & Robert Kisch

Information: We approved the Budget for the Halloween Sittning 2019 on the 15th October 2019.

Appendix 10

Decision item: Election of Generals SS20

Rapporteur: The Election Committee

Background: The time has come to elect Generals for Faddereit SS20. Three candidates have gone through the recruitment process with the Election Committee and will be presented at the meeting. The three candidates will also present themselves to the Board and you will have the chance to interview them and ask any questions you might have.

We claim

That the board elects Frida Sjöberg as General SS20.

We claim

That the board elects Luisa Dahlstedt as General SS20.

We claim

That the board elects Alexandre Guillemand as General SS20.

Appendix 11

Discussion item: Association Car

Rapporteur: Frida Johansson

Background: As we are dependent on external venues quite often and there is a need for transporting people, but also mainly objects I think that we should consider a car in the name of the Association. This is already something that a few of our friend associations have but is also frequently used by other associations and projects around the various Stockholm campuses. Looking at HHGS, Handelshögskolan in Gothenburg, their car is used most of the time and I think that we would have the same use for it since almost all our projects have a need for cars. Such simple things as picking up something that was forgotten in Festningen once everyone is already at Karolinska Institutet or just simply not having to let our generals wait for an hour for an uber to be willing to transport them and all the things they needed to bring back to Festningen.

It would also be beneficial since more people would have to stay sober to utilize the car.

I do not yet know exactly how it would be done in practice since someone has to be a registered owner of the car, but I would like to hear your opinions on whether the possible use of a car is something we see as beneficial and should start to look into more seriously.

Appendix 12

Discussion item: Buddy Introduction Day

Rapporteur: Frida Johansson

Background: Last week (by the time this is sent out, approximately two weeks ago) Alexander and I met with Studenthälsan to talk about cooperation. They mentioned that before every kick-off (fadderi) that NF throws they are invited for a training session with the buddies to talk about things like stress or what to do if a new student is prone to drink too much each time, is sexually assaulted or other risks. I think that this is a good idea but I would like to take this one step further as I think that there are more things that the buddies should be aware of and I do think that having a training day would make buddies take their role more seriously. (Sadly I do not think that MÄddan fills this purpose but is more seen as a fun night to create

some team spirit.) From what I've thought about so far it would make sense to include Studenthälsan to talk about things mentioned earlier, PrU to talk about the logistics of a sitting to make sure everything runs smoothly in the breaks and MÄ to talk about the traditions of the association.

I want your thoughts on the general idea and if we find that it is something we want to, later on, decide on to adopt as part of fadderiet, what parties should be included in the training? I.e.: what do the buddies need knowledge on in order to become good buddies?

Appendix 13

Discussion item: Corporate Requests for Sponsorship

Rapporteur: Lovisa Enholm

Background: One of our strategic projects this year is decentralization. I therefore want to bring up a discussion about sponsorship request and if our projects should have to go through corporate requests for that as it is today. It is usually the same products and brands that are being asked for in every project over again which means that it just takes longer for the project to contact sponsors as well as extra workload on the Head of Corporate Relations. My suggestion would be to let the projects contact companies for sponsorship without having to go through corporate requests (as many of the universities within U9) and instead have a proper workshop with the OMG each semester about our policies and making sure that the OMG passes this on to the project groups. The risk with this would be that project groups contact companies that goes against our policies. However, I think this could be avoided through education with the OMG.

Appendix 14

Discussion item: Upotential, Mental Fitness

Rapporteur: Lovisa Enholm

Background: I had a meeting last week with Upotential, who works with mental health. I have attached a powerpoint with information that they have sent me. It is unfortunately in Swedish, however, they said that they could have their workshops in English as well. Upotential have courses and workshops in mental fitness to help people cope with stress, work more efficiently, prevent mental illness, work on soft skills, etc, and by that help people reach their full potential. They believe that this is something missing in the education today and they therefore want to collaborate

with universities and are seeking for a more long-term collaboration with us. I believe that this could be interesting and potentially benefit our students a lot. However, I'm a bit skeptical towards how interested our students are in this, but I still think it could be worth giving it a try and have a workshop with them. What do you guys think?

Appendix 15

Discussion item: Weekly/Monthly Email

Rapporteur: Lovisa Enholm

Background: I have several times got the question from our partners and other companies why we don't have any weekly/monthly email. I think this could be something worth looking into. It could be a way of letting students know what is going on in the association, market our projects and a new source of income. I therefore want to bring this discussion up. Is this something we would like to do? How would this work practically? Who should make it? How should it be marketed? How often should it be sent out?

Appendix 16

Discussion item: Delegating Marketing Requests

Rapporteur: Liina-Sofia Neitenbach

Background: A very large part of the time spent in my role is posting content on our social media pages mostly on Facebook. This can take hours on occasion especially when I get requested to change the post constantly. Due to people believing I am an editor, they are not reading the brand book and it creates extra work for me because I have to send material back all the time for it to be corrected. I think that if we delegate this to the marketing committee, I can focus on more strategic projects and how to reach more students through certain projects and it would overall help the marketing content that we are putting out onto our social media pages.

Appendix 17

Discussion item: Date for Grand Meeting

Rapporteur: Alexander Riese & Mattis Jämtbäck

Background: Each semester, the President and 2nd Vice President is responsible for making sure a grand meeting is held. This is a meeting between the Board and the Operational Management Group. We'd like to suggest a date during the coming three weeks. Please look through your calendars for suggested dates.

Appendix 18

Discussion item: U9 Discussion item

Rapporteur: Alexander Riese

Background: U9 in Växjö is coming up the 8-10th November. Therefore, I would like input from the board regarding what discussion point you would like me to bring with me and help in preparing this.

Appendix 19

Discussion item: Evaluation of Committee Chairs Stepping Off

Rapporteur: Alexander Riese

Background: In our effort to standardize recruitment processes, processes within various committees as well as evaluations, I believe a first step is to hold evaluations with the chairs who will soon be stepping off. I believe these should be coordinated, and it would be valuable to agree on a set of questions we want answered. I also think we should attempt to hold these evaluations before they step off, to avoid losing the opportunity or them being postponed.

Appendix 20

Discussion item: Disciplinary Policy

Rapporteur: Alexander Riese

Background: Before we stepped on as the board, we briefly discussed the pros and cons of having a set of rules or guidelines for how action is taken against members of the association who don't follow our policies. Since then, we have witnessed a few potential cases where this could have been beneficial. We have also heard feedback from U9, where several friend associations have introduced similar policies after a



conference last year and seen very positive results. The question has also most recently been raised at Campusrådet among our campus friend associations who have felt a need for something similar. With this background I would like to bring up the topic again. I see the purpose of this policy to support the board in how to handle conflicts or violations of policies with a set action plan, as well as to fill the void between warning/taking a conversation with a member and excluding them from the association. Managing these violations purely on a case to case basis leaves room for bias, inaction and the board receiving blame for mishandling situations. With clear guidelines and a consistency in actions taken, I believe these risks can be minimized.