Call to Order
Alexander Riese called the meeting to order at 18:06.

Appointment of Secretary
Benjamin Söderman was appointed secretary.

Appointment of Adjusters
Liina-Sofia Neitenbach was appointed 1st adjuster.
Lovisa Enholm was appointed 2nd adjuster.

David Dufweke, Frida Sjöberg, Luisa Dahlstedt, Vlada Borets, Ada Nuutti, Sahel Fardeen Faez and Juulia Kuusimäki were adjusted in with speaking rights at 18:09.

Adjustment of voting rights

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attendees</th>
<th>Voting right</th>
<th>Speaking right</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alexander Riese</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frida Johansson</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mattis Jämtbäck</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carl Robertson</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Kisch</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lovisa Enholm</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liina-Sofia Neitenbach</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marie Linden</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benjamin Söderman</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Dufweke (-21:14)</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frida Sjöberg</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luisa Dahlstedt</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vlada Borets</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ada Nuutti</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sahel Fardeen Faez</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
§.6 Adoption of Agenda
The agenda was adopted with the following changes:
- Appendix 12 previously stated that we had no applicants for treasury, which is false. Agenda was updated and states that there is one applicant for treasury.

§.7 Follow up of to-do list
- Marie proofread the recruitment policy.
- Benjamin got a quota on outsourcing the website.

§.8 Adoption of previous protocols
The protocol from board meeting #27 was adopted with the following changes:
- Alexender was changed to Alexander on §.1.
- “Board Meeting 25” was changed to “Board Meeting #25” on §.8.

§.9 Check-in
Processed.

§.10 Debriefing, all areas of responsibility


Frida Johansson: Been in Contact with the Speak Up Week Project Group and the Education committee regarding speak up week. Been working on what to collectively hand over to the future vice president and the Education Chairs, attended Campusrådet. Daily duties.


Marie Linden: Approved project plan for cooking classes. Meeting with my successor regarding the Master’s Club. Finished translation of Manual 65. In contact with the International Affairs Office regarding how to best support students in the case that exchange is cancelled (worked on with the Head of Marketing). In contact with friend associations in terms of what union work entails within their
international roles. Attended the International Committee zoom hangout and the Election Pub.

Carl Robertson: Created investment policy, made a budget for next year, working to restructure the treasury and amg. Daily duties.

Robert Kisch: The treasury has created the investment policy and put forward a budget for next year’s board for association meeting #3. Worked with bookkeeping and structure around the new AMG.

Lovisa Enholm: Meetings with our partners to evaluate this year and look over the partner agreements, been in contact with the Business Committee regarding contact representatives for next year, daily duties

Liina-Sofia Neitenbach: Held the first handover session with Ada, daily duties.

Benjamin Söderman: Held handover meetings with my successor, contacted several companies for quotes for a potential outsourcing of our website, helped IC out with Zoom for their hangout, daily duties.

§.11  **Debriefing, Treasury**
Nothing to add.

§.12 **Debriefing, Operational Management Group**
Nothing to add.

§.13 **Notification item: Cooking Class Project Plan Approved**
Rapporteur:  Marie Linden  
(Appendix 1)

The Project Plan for Cooking Class was sent to the archives.

§.14 **Notification item: Budget for Speak Up Week approved**
Rapporteur:  Carl Robertson & Robert Kisch  
(Appendix 2)

The Budget for Speak Up Week was sent to the archives.

§.15 **Notification item: Budget for Cooking Class approved**
Rapporteur:  Carl Robertson & Robert Kisch  
(Appendix 3)

The Budget for Cooking Class was sent to the archives with the removal of an unnecessary comment.
§.16 Notification item: Per Capsulam: Motion the Association Meeting Regarding Treasury Remuneration Passed
Rapporteur: Alexander Riese
(Appendix 4)

A motion regarding remuneration for the treasury was passed via Per Capsulam and will be proposed at the third association meeting.

§.17 Notification item: Per Capsulam: Motion the Association Meeting Regarding Newly Drafted Investment Policy Passed
Rapporteur: Carl Robertson
(Appendix 5)

A motion regarding the new investment policy was passed via Per Capsulam and will be proposed at the third association meeting.

§.18 Notification item: Per Capsulam: Motion the Association Meeting Regarding Preliminary Association Budget 20/21 Passed
Rapporteur: Carl Robertson
(Appendix 6)

A motion regarding the association budget for 20/21 was passed via Per Capsulam and will be proposed at the third association meeting.

§.19 Decision item: Project Plan Surf Trip 2020
Rapporteur: Mattis Jämtbäck
(Appendix 7)

Arguments were brought up that the solution from Lapoint is a generous solution. However, it does not solve the issue with cancelling flights, which are booked separately. Also, doing this trip would require us to approve all the other trips, and sending a lot of students abroad is not considered safe. The interest in this trip seems low in this time and the risk would be high for such a small value. Also, from a PR-perspective it could give the association a bad reputation. Having our members put in a lot of effort for an event that potentially will not take place is also a large risk.

The perspective about that the members’ motivation may suffer from cancelling events was brought up. However, the risk of conducting the event outweighed the suggestion.

I claim
that the Board approves the Surf Trip 2020 Project Plan.

Decision

that the Board declines the Surf Trip 2020 Project Plan.

§.20

Decision item: Verklig Huvudman Avanza
Rapporteur: Lovisa Enholm

I claim

that the board approves Robert Kisch, vice-treasurer, as Verklig huvudman for Föreningen Ekonomerna gentemot Avanza.

I claim

that the board approves Carl Robertson, treasurer, as Verklig huvudman for Föreningen Ekonomerna gentemot Avanza.

I claim

that the board approves Alexander Riese, president, as Verklig huvudman for Föreningen Ekonomerna gentemot Avanza.

Carl Robertson and Robert Kisch were adjusted out at 19:36.

Decision

that the board approves Robert Kisch, vice-treasurer, as Verklig huvudman for Föreningen Ekonomerna gentemot Avanza.

Decision

that the board approves Carl Robertson, treasurer, as Verklig huvudman for Föreningen Ekonomerna gentemot Avanza.
Carl Robertson and Robert Kisch were adjusted in at 19:37.
Alexander Riese was adjusted out at 19:37.

Decision

that the board approves Alexander Riese, president, as Verklig huvudman for Föreningen Ekonomerna gentemot Avanza.

Alexander Riese was adjusted in at 19:38.

§.21 Decision item: Authorized Auditor for Fiscal Year 19/20
Rapporteur: Carl Robertson & Robert Kisch (Appendix 9)

Claim

that the board proposes Robin Johansson as auditor for fiscal year 19/20.

Decision

that the board proposes Robin Johansson as auditor for fiscal year 19/20.

The meeting was paused at 18:55
The meeting was reopened at 19:10.

§.22 Discussion item: Projects Going Abroad FS20
Rapporteur: Alexander Riese (Appendix 10)

This point was discussed at point §.19. The discussion continued with bringing up regarding current projects on how to go about their work. It was brought up that making decisions on these events should be postponed indefinitely, as well as following the guidelines from the university, Utrikesdepartementet and Folkhälsomyndigheten.

§.23 Discussion item: Master’s Welcoming Weeks and Dissolving the Master’s Club
Rapporteur: Marie Linden (Appendix 11)
It was brought up that the Master’s Club has had problems with engagement lately but not considerably worse than other committees, especially since the vast engagement from the last chair and vice chair. Their purpose might not be that clear, which may be the same for many other clubs and committees, but this could be cleared out by talking to the founders of the club. It was also brought up that more focus on the Master’s Welcoming Weeks could create a better interest for master’s students. The difficulties with recruitment for the project leaders of Master’s Welcoming Weeks if the club was to be dissolved was brought up. The difficulty in recruiting chairs was brought up since it may be a struggle for master students to allocate their time for a long period, which might explain the low interest.

Since roughly half of the master’s students are international students and will most likely not be able to make their way to SBS, if the Master’s Welcoming Weeks will happen remains unclear. Having a year to focus more on the club itself might improve the interest for it. However, since Master’s Welcoming Weeks is a door to the association this might not be as effective either.

Reaching out to the student counselor in order to get in contact with master’s students, as well as market the club more was brought up as potential solutions.

_The meeting was paused at 20:32._
_The meeting was reopened at 20:46._

§.24  
**Discussion item: Extra Association Meeting**  
Rapporteur: Alexander Riese  
(Appendix 12)

The board agrees upon that the possibility of having an extra association meeting may be a good solution, if any roles remain unfilled. The risk would remain that the interest could be lacking which would end in an ‘empty’ association meeting. The board found that if especially the Internal Auditor and Treasurer-role remain vacant, an extra meeting would be required. A preliminary date was set at Wednesday the 17th of June but will be brought up at a later board meeting as a decision item, if necessary.

§.25  
**Discussion item: Overseeing the Documents in the Archive**  
Rapporteur: Frida Johansson  
(Appendix 13)
The board agreed upon that some of the documents in ‘Archive’ on Podio seem outdated and irrelevant. The board also agreed upon that these documents should be investigated to clean out some irrelevant documents as well as updating older ones. The documents were gone through during the meeting and the board will update them as necessary.

David Dufweke was adjusted out at 21:14.

§.26  
Discussion item: The Purpose of our Committees  
Rapporteur: Frida Johansson  
(Appendix 14)

The board agreed upon that it can become problematic where the purpose or differences between committees isn’t evident. However, the board has not seen any examples of events during this fiscal year where events hosted by committee would be more fitting another committee. It was also brought up that some events may be hard to trace to a single committee. The board agreed upon that during these times, steering the committees in a clearer direction may prevent creativity, hence this should be followed up later for the next board. Also, it is important that the committees should be able to define themselves.

The meeting was paused at 22:06.  
The meeting was reopened at 22:12.

§.27  
Discussion item: Slack or Google Hangouts  
Rapporteur: Frida Johansson  
(Appendix 15)

The board agreed upon that a lot of work gets done through Facebook, which people sometimes find intrusive. Slack sounds ideal but it would require that everyone starts using it together, which would be a challenge. Google Hangout seems like a flexible solution but wouldn’t necessarily solve the issues with Facebook Messenger. Slack is also a system for working, Facebook is a social medium and Google Hangout seems like a mix of both. The board elect is recommended to investigate a good solution for their internal communication within different projects.

§.28  
Discussion item: Digital Platforms  
Rapporteur: Lovisa Enholm  
(Appendix 16)
The board agreed upon that it is a good idea, especially at these times, to expand ourselves on different digital platforms. This would have to comply with our steering documents. Distinctions between different social media needs to be drawn if we were to expand our presence to avoid the same content on different platforms. Work will continue with the strategic project regarding the marketing guidelines and brand book.

§.29 Information item: Online Education during FS20
Rapporteur: Alexander Riese

The Board was informed about SBS decisions regarding education for the upcoming semester.

§.30 Additional items

§.31 Up-coming events
- Cooking Class on Thursday May 14th.
- Excel course on Wednesday May 27th.

§.32 To-do list
- Liina and Benjamin will construct templates for various documents.
- Frida and Alexander will draft a disciplinary policy.
- Mattis will create a step by step implementation and evaluation of changing recruitment strategy.
- Alexander will send in a point of continued discussion on alternative association meeting formats.
- Marie will contact the student counselor for master students.
- Mattis will invite the generals to the next board meeting.

§.33 Next meeting
The next meeting will be held Wednesday the 27th of May at 18:00, through Zoom.

§.34 Meeting concluded
The meeting was concluded at 22:57.
Appendix 1

Notification item: Cooking Class Project Plan Approved
Rapporteur: Marie Linden

Background: The project plan for the Cooking Classes from the International Committee was approved on the 5th of May.

Appendix 2

Notification item: Budget for Speak Up Week approved
Rapporteur: Carl Robertson & Robert Kisch

Background: We approved the budget for Speak Up Week on the 12th of April 2020.

Appendix 3

Notification item: Budget for Cooking Class approved
Rapporteur: Carl Robertson & Robert Kisch

Background: We approved the budget for Cooking Class on the 5th of May 2020.

Appendix 4

Notification item: Per Capsulam: Motion the Association Meeting Regarding Treasury Remuneration Passed
Rapporteur: Alexander Riese

Background: The Board approved a per capsulam decision regarding motioning the Association Meeting to allow future treasuries to be remunerated on the 10th of May 2020.

Appendix 5

Notification item: Per Capsulam: Motion the Association Meeting Regarding Newly Drafted Investment Policy Passed
Rapporteur: Carl Robertson
**Background:** The Board approved a per capsulam decision regarding motioning the association meeting to approve the investment policy in accordance with the treasuries’ role descriptions on the 10th of May.

**Appendix 6**

**Notification item:** Per Capsulam: Motion the Association Meeting Regarding Preliminary Association Budget 20/21 Passed  
Rapporteur: Carl Robertson

**Background:** The board approved a per capsulam decision regarding motioning the Association Meeting to approve a preliminary budget for next fiscal year on the 10th of May.

**Appendix 7**

**Decision item:** Project Plan Surf Trip 2020  
Rapporteur: Mattis Jämtbäck

**Background:** Due to the current situation and previous discussions regarding trips I would like to leave it up to the board to approve the project plan for the Surf Trip 2020.

I claim

that the Board approves the Surf Trip 2020 Project Plan.

**Appendix 8**

**Decision item:** Verklig Huvudman Avanza  
Rapporteur: Lovisa Enholm

**Background:** The board needs to approve two people as acting huvudmän in order to open an Avanza account in the associations name.
I claim

that the board approves Robert Kisch, vice-treasurer, as Verklig huvudman for Föreningen Ekonomerna gentemot Avanza.

I claim

that the board approves Carl Robertson, treasurer, as Verklig huvudman for Föreningen Ekonomerna gentemot Avanza.

I claim

that the board approves Alexander Riese, president, as Verklig huvudman for Föreningen Ekonomerna gentemot Avanza.

Appendix 9

Decision item: Authorized Auditor for Fiscal Year 19/20
Rapporteur: Carl Robertson & Robert Kisch

Background: We will be appointing an auditor for the coming year at Association Meeting #3 as this decision was postponed from Association Meeting #2. Due to the issues that have delayed the previous year’s audit and financial statement the treasury has investigated switching away from Mazars, but want a decision from the board before proposing this at the Association Meeting. The treasury has been in contact with Robin Johansson who is an authorized auditor at R3 Revision and therefore would like to suggest him.

We claim

that the board proposes Robin Johansson as auditor for fiscal year 19/20.

Appendix 10

Discussion item: Projects Going Abroad FS20
Rapporteur: Alexander Riese
**Background:** The board discussed the future of projects going abroad for the coming semester during our morning meeting on May 6th. The conclusion from this discussion was that we have already heavily restricted such projects in how far they can progress in their planning and especially their marketing and that we should be consistent in whatever guidelines we decide upon toward all projects. It was also discussed that planning trips during these times typically takes more effort than normally and that there was a risk of committees having to put in more effort in these projects with a greater risk of them falling through and therefore their remaining projects or their ability to start new projects suffering as a result. Due to the complexity of this issue and the question being if we should already at this point cancel all projects going abroad during the next semester due to the inappropriateness of such projects in light of the current situation, we decided to hold this discussion during the next board meeting #29.

**Appendix 11**

**Discussion item: Master’s Welcoming Weeks and Dissolving the Master’s Club**

Rapporteur  Marie Linden

**Background:** The Masters Club has been the proposed hub for international master’s students to get together, mingle and work on projects together, and/or enhance networking opportunities. There is also the prospect of organizing the Masters’ Welcoming Weeks. There has, however, been a clear lack of motivation from the previous Chair and Vice Chair to continue due to the lack of engagement and growth of the club itself. The grueling schedules of master’s students has effectively impeded active participation on their part, in spite of the best intentions and efforts on the part of the Chair and Vice Chair of the committee. There also seems to be no clear outline of objectives as to what the club represents since its proposed opportunities are much in line with what some of the other committees are offering, which are open to Masters students and therefore defeat the purpose to have a separate organizing club which isn’t being able to cater to any distinctive needs solely for the Masters students. Also, the Master’s Welcoming Weeks still remains an essential experience for the Masters students to look forward to upon admission, which can follow the existing format of Fadderiet as an independent project. We can consider marketing to master’s students with a more focused approach, such as Master’s Welcoming Weeks.

The aim of this discussion is to (1) discuss the pros and cons/implications of dissolving the Master’s Club and (2) in the case of dissolution, discuss the pros and cons of setting up Master’s Welcoming Weeks as an independent project.
Appendix 12

Discussion item: Extra Association Meeting
Rapporteur: Alexander Riese

Background: The Election Committee have finished their recruitment of the Board of 20/21 and only have one applicant for the Treasury. We are also lacking applicants for Internal Auditor and next year’s Election Committee. These are all roles which the association are heavily dependent on, especially that of the Treasury. There is always the possibility of appointing these with a board interim decision, however I believe we should do everything in our power to not put the 20/21 board in that position from day 1. The shorter than normal handover period, held by limited means and during very dire times makes the possibility to potentially teach the President the role of treasurer as well and have to take on three roles from day is in my opinion close to an impossible task.

I would therefore like to look at the possibility of extending the Election Committees mission and summon to an extra Association Meeting before this semester’s mandate ends to appoint these missing roles.

Appendix 13

Discussion item: Overseeing the Documents in the Archive
Rapporteur: Frida Johansson

Background: I’ve noted that there are several documents in the association that very rarely, if ever, are used. Such things are dishwasher routines, marketing plans, sponsorships requests, etc. To me, it seems quite evident that documents that are no longer used have not proven useful and therefore been abandoned. I would like to propose that we review what documents there are, if they are being used, and if they should be reimplemented or purged.

Appendix 14

Discussion item: The Purpose of our Committees
Rapporteur: Frida Johansson

Background: As we touched upon during our last meeting the purpose of our committees is sometimes a bit vague and as our committee works project-based there
are sometimes not quite clear what is expected from our committees as it comes to what type of events to host or how frequently. I would like to have a discussion on what we as a board think is the purpose of our committees and what their main operations should be.

Appendix 15

Discussion item: Slack or Google Hangouts
Rapporteur  Frida Johansson

Background: At the beginning of our year we had some discussions on evaluating slack to help our active projects and working groups keep in contact in a better way as the association quite heavily relies on Facebook which to some can feel intrusive on one’s personal life. This is something I would like to bring up again, to see if we want to implement and if we then would like to look at google hangouts instead as we already have our mail through google.

Appendix 16

Discussion item: Digital Platforms
Rapporteur  Lovisa Enholm

Background: In the last few weeks I have had meetings with several of our partners to evaluate our collaborations. Many of them have brought up the question about digital marketing and how they want to move away from physical meetings with students to more digital ones, especially considering the times we’re in right now. Therefore, I think it is important for us to discuss how we use our digital platforms and to what extent we can accommodate these requests. The platforms we are using now are primarily Facebook and our website (as well as Instagram for internal marketing). In these times I think it’s more important than ever to look over that. A few suggestions would be to create a newsletter, start using LinkedIn again and opening Instagram for external marketing. What are your thoughts?

Appendix 17

Information item: Online Education during FS20
Rapporteur: Alexander Riese
Background: SBS have decided and published information regarding that the online education of all courses will continue through period 1 and 2 of FS20. This means that there will be no physical teaching or students on campus at least for the start of next semester. SBS have also decided that they will still offer courses to international students but held online. This obviously has implications on our operations as well, most notably Fadderiet, but I want to postpone such discussions for a future meeting and allow everyone to look over how this impacts committees/projects/daily duties and bring relevant discussions for a future meeting. I have informed the most impacted parties individually as soon as this information reached me, and they have started preparing accordingly.