FÖRENINGEN EKONOMERNA
VID STOCKHOLMS UNIVERSITET

Board meeting #31
Monday 2020-06-08
Held Digitally through Zoom
Time: 18:00-22:00

§.1 Call to Order
The meeting was called to order at 18:04

Frida Sjöberg, Emilia Ishak, Rasmus Brehme, Simon Shafiee, Karin Asp, Ada Nuutti, Sahel Fardeen Faez, Vlada Borets and Juulia Kuusimäki were adjusted in with speaking rights at 18:04

§.2 Appointment of Secretary
Mattis Jämtbäck was appointed secretary

§.3 Appointment of Adjusters
Robert Kisch was appointed 1st adjuster
Carl Robertson was appointed 2nd adjuster

§.4 Adjustment of voting rights

§.5 Attendees Voting right Speaking right
Alexander Riese . .
Frida Johansson . .
Mattis Jämtbäck . .
Carl Robertson . .
Robert Kisch . .
Lovisa Enholm . .
Liina-Sofia Neitenbach . .
Marie Linden . .
Benjamin Söderman . .
Emilia Ishak . .
Rasmus Brehme . .
Frida Sjöberg . .
Karin Asp . .
Ada Nuutti . .
Sahel Fardeen Faez . .
§.6 Adoption of Agenda
The agenda was adopted with the addition of §18 and §19, with §13 and §14 switching place.

§.7 Follow up of to-do list
- Mattis will look into finding an appropriate steering document in which to add the rule of not holding other positions within the association for trustees and vice chairs.
- The information that Festningen is open for required association work has been posted on Facebook by Liina, sent out by e-mail and posted at Podio by Mattis and posted at the website by Benjamin.
- Liina and Benjamin will continue create templates for various documents.
- Alexander has created a draft of the disciplinary policy.
- Mattis will continue to work on the guidelines for recruitment.
- Alexander will create a document regarding other association meeting forms for the board elect.

§.8 Adoption of previous protocols
Emilia Ishak was adjusted in at 18:20 with speaking rights.

The protocol from board meeting #29 was adopted with editorial changes.

§.9 Check-in
Processed.

§.10 Debriefing, all areas of responsibility
Processed.

§.11 Debriefing, Treasury
Processed.

§.12 Debriefing, Operational Management Group
Processed.
§.13 Decision item: Project Plan Fadderiet FS20
Rapporteur: Mattis Jämtbäck & Marie Linden (Appendix 1)

The project plan for Fadderiet FS20 was discussed and the theme and teams names were approved. After the discussion the conclusion was that the generals will send in two separate project plans, one for the first two periods of the semester that will be held online and one for the last two parts that will hopefully include physical events.

Claim

that the Board approves the Project Plan for Fadderiet FS20.

Decision

that the Project Plan for Fadderiet FS20 was declined.

§.14 Decision item: Budget Fadderiet FS20
Rapporteur: Carl Robertson & Robert Kisch (Appendix 2)

The Generals will continue to work on the budget and make two separate budgets, one for the first two periods of the semester and one for the last two. The budget for the first kick-off was approved.

Claim

That the Board approves the Budget for Fadderiet FS20.

Decision

That the Budget for Fadderiet FS20 was declined.

Rasmus Brehme & Simon Shafiee were adjusted out at 18:59

§.15 Decision item: Revising Brand Book
Rapporteur: Liina-Sofia Neitenbach (Appendix 3)

Claim
That the Board approves the revised Brand Book.

Decision

That the revised Brand Book was declined.

Meeting was adjourned at 19:07
Meeting was reopened at 19:23

§.16 Discussion item: Disciplinary Policy
Rapporteur: Alexander Riese (Appendix 4)

Alexander went through the document and discussions were held section by section.

The question of who should be in the disciplinary committee was discussed. The question regarding whether the Lord/Lady Marshal can have such a position according to our statues was brought up and will be looked into.

The point of giving the opportunity to add other members to the committee was discussed and whether this is necessary or not. The point of this section was added to be humble to the fact that unpredictable situations arise where it could be useful.

The issues with the documentation of cases, the cases being sensitive information, and potentially adding a sentence regarding the consequences of spreading this information were discussed.

To keep the anonymity of the person submitting a form in the whistle-blower function intact, the question of how many people of the committee would receive it was discussed.

The board also discussed the time frame regarding when an incident has been reported to when it would be time-barred.

It was also brought up that it should be clarified how the decisions are to be made and what majority should be necessary.
Alexander Riese will continue his work on the draft, incorporating the input from the meeting, and present a new draft at the next board meeting.

The meeting was adjourned at 21:02
The meeting was reopened at 21:11

§.17 Discussion item: Dissolvement of Non-Profit Budgets
Rapporteur: Frida Johansson
(Appendix 5)

The issue that some committees have it easier to maintain a balanced result for their events, while some have a harder time was the main focal point of the discussion. Whether it would be beneficial to re-distribute our funds was also discussed. The possibility of putting the events budgets on the activity plans and the Association budget in order to enable a negative result on an event was brought up.

§.18 Discussion item: Introductory email

Due to the ongoing crisis it will be harder to reach new members in the beginning of the next semester, since the committees won’t have an opportunity to meet the new students in person. How can we as a board assist the committees and take more responsibility in this matter.

The issues with GDPR and sending emails to people who are not subscribed to the email were discussed.

The role that the generals have in the first communication was brought up, and that they should include more information about the different aspects of the association in their project plan and marketing plan.

The fact that this probably is not an issue for the current board to solve and is better handled by the Board elect was the conclusion of the discussion.

§.19 Discussion item: Email blasts
The possibility of improving how we work with emails as a marketing and information tool was brought up. The decision to leave this to the Board elect was made.

§.20 Additional items

§.21 Up-coming events

§.22 To-do list

- Alexander will continue to work on the disciplinary policy and present a new draft at the next board meeting.
- Mattis will look into finding an appropriate steering document in which to add the rule of not holding other positions within the association for trustees and vice chairs.
- Liina and Benjamin will continue create templates for various documents.
- Mattis will continue to work on the guidelines for recruitment.
- Alexander will create a document regarding other association meeting forms for the board elect.

§.23 Next meeting
The next meeting is set to Monday the 15th of June, held through Zoom.

§.24 Meeting concluded
The meeting was concluded at 21:53
Appendix 1

Decision item: Project Plan Fadderiet FS20
Rapporteur: Mattis Jämtbäck & Marie Linden

Background: From the generals: We have been working on our Project plan, we are unfortunately not 100% done. Here is our first version. We still need to explain the roles and the responsibilities for the generals, PG and buddies more, we will also explain the theme in a better way. The biggest challenge is to set up the dates for every event and explain our goal and vision with every event

Claim

that the Board approves the Project Plan for Fadderiet FS20.

Appendix 2

Decision item: Budget Fadderiet FS20
Rapporteur: Carl Robertson & Robert Kisch

Background: We have received the budget for Fadderiet FS20.

Claim

That The Board approves the Budget for Fadderiet FS20.

Appendix 3

Decision item: Revising Brand Book
Rapporteur: Liina-Sofia Neitenbach

Background: Lovisa and I have been in contact about adding a small section to the brand book about allowing business to have Instagram takeovers. This needs to be sorted today as Lovisa needs to sign contracts later this week so please tell me what you think of the small section that I have added to allow for contracts to be signed.

Claim

That the Board approves the revised Brand Book.
Appendix 4

Discussion item: Disciplinary Policy
Rapporteur: Alexander Riese

Background: Frida and I have completed a first draft of the Disciplinary Policy. It is heavily based on SASSEs existing one, but with some major changes to better suite our organization.

Appendix 5

Discussion item: Dissolvement of Non-Profit Budgets
Rapporteur: Frida Johansson

Background: We have since long worked towards becoming a union and during our board year we have taken the union work one step further by starting to shift the focus from strictly association work to set up plans for what activities we see fitting for a union. The union grant would when union status is reached go to union work, but I think that it is important that we already now start looking at what we can do until we reach that point to start such activities as those kinds of activities often don’t generate income but still have expenses. One suggestion which has been brought forth in previous discussions on tangent matters has been to dissolve the policy which says that all project budgets need to be zero-profit budgets, and start allowing some budgets to go with a profit in order to let other projects go with a deficit. There might be other ways to solve this problem which we can come up with and I would like us to discuss possible solutions to the problem, as I think that there are a lot of great and important projects which are not able to take place strictly because we need to see balanced budgets.