§.1 Call to Order
Frida Sjöberg called to order at 18.01

Andreas Wassenius was adjusted in with speaking rights at 18.01

§.2 Appointment of Secretary
Ada Nuutti was appointed as secretary

§.3 Appointment of Adjusters
Alice Arabshahi was appointed as 1st adjuster
Alan Rashid was appointed as 2nd adjuster

§.4 Adjustment of voting rights

§.5 Attendees | Voting right | Speaking right
Frida Sjöberg | . | .
Karin Asp | . | .
Luisa Dahlstedt | . | .
Alice Arabshahi | . | .
Alan Rashid (-19.04) | . | .
Juulia Kuusimäki | . | .
Ada Nuutti | . | .
Sahel Faez | . | .
Vlada Borets | . | .
Alexander Riese | . | .
Andreas Wassenius | . | .

§.6 Adoption of Agenda
The agenda was adopted without changes.
§.7 Follow up of to-do list
- Frida Sjöberg and Luisa Dahlstedt will look up the exact wording for definition of Committee Member – Done (looked into the Statue and discussed it but right now there is no clear definition so another discussion will be necessary to define it)

- Vlada Borets and Juulia Kuusimäki will look into decentralization of Job forum – Done (the IT Committee was given access to the website so they can do some of the work)

- Treasury will keep looking at what they work most on – In progress, will discuss on Friday this week.

- Frida Sjöberg will talk to Akademiska Hus to see what answers they have towards making copies on the key for the vault and what we are allowed and then how to do it - Done (Akademiska Hus was contacted, and the response was that it might be possible to help out but since the contact person has recently changed, they will have to look more into the matter with the new contact person)

- Frida Sjöberg will gather information and feedback in regard to the discussion of a continued evaluation of ED from the different entities with experience and knowledge from it – Done (previous project leaders and presidents have been contacted but some more information is still needed for making the final decision)

- Frida Sjöberg will be responsible to take the work for clearer guidelines towards events for the Covid-updates on website further - Done

§.8 Adoption of previous protocols
- Anna Sundstedt is not adjusted in and also missing from the attendee list on point number 5.
- Lulu the Goat should be added to the attendee list.
- On §.7, on the to-do list, the status of the tasks is missing (1st point was done, 2nd point is in progress and should be kept in the list).
- On §.22, something if should be changed to if something.

The protocol from Board Meeting #20 was put to the archives with the above-mentioned changes.
§.9  **Check-in**  
Processed.

§.10  **Debriefing, all areas of responsibility**
Frida Sjöberg: Attended weekly meeting with SBS, attended Humanistiska Föreningen’s Receniorsmiddag, attended the Fadderiet Finsittning combined with game show. Had a meeting with the Election Committee, had a meeting with Ekonomernas Dag, got in contact with Akademiska Hus regarding keys to the vault, also continued to evaluate ED by gathering information. In addition, planned and had Instagram takeover as part of the recruitment for Board 21/22, and daily duties.

Vlada Borets: Delegated some website work to the IT Committee,  
Attended Fadderiet Finsittning, daily duties

Ada Nuutti: had a meeting with Instagram Content Group, had two meetings with Luisa and Frida to plan an afterwork for the Board and the OMG and prepared some activities for that, finalised the Board spex for Finsittning, created Association GIFs for IG-stories, planned and coordinated the ig-takeovers for the Board, had a meeting to discuss marketing for Spring Ball, attended the Finsittning/Game Show, had a meeting with the Election Committee, had a meeting with the new Business Content Group and daily duties.

Juulia Kuusimäki: Attended the Fadderiet Finsittning combined with game show, had a meeting with the Election Committee, had a meeting about SM i Ekonomi, had a meeting with Frida, helped Mentoring With Professionals, helped Career Choice, planned Instagram takeover, daily duties.

Karin Asp: attended SFR, meeting with EC, attended Fadderiets Finsittning, meeting with Election, daily duties.

Alice Arabshahi: Attended Fadderiet Finsittning, meeting with the Election Committee, AMG-meeting, approved MC's Meet & Greet budget, looked at what expenses we have had until now together with Alan.

Alan Rashid: daily duties.
Luisa Dahlstedt: Attended Fadderiet Finsittning, meeting to plan AW for OMG with Frida and Ada, had OMG meeting, daily duties.

Sahel Faez: Daily duties, had the first handover meeting with the Master’s Club, meeting with International Committee, meeting with International Office, meeting with Election Committee, attended the Fadderiet Finsittning.

§.11 **Debriefing, Treasury**

Trying to collect all signatures again so that Alan can receive his own access to Swedbank.

§.12 **Debriefing, Operational Management Group**

OMG meeting was held last week and there were some good discussion points. This week the Board x OMG afterwork will take place.

§.13 **Notification item: Meet & Greet Project Plan Approved**

Rapporteur: Ada Nuutti

The Board noticed some commas were missing and other minor grammar mistakes. In the *Time plan* it should be clarified that on 5/3 a poster about the event will be posted not to confuse it with creating Facebook-event. Under *Marketing* the sentence about the video posted on the Generals-page should be removed since no video has been made. It should also be clarified on which pages the links will be posted.

The Meet & Greet project plan has been put to the archives with editorial changes.

§.14 **Notification item: Meet & Greet Budget Approved**

Rapporteur: Alice Arabshahi & Alan Rashid

Treasury clarified that the initial plan was to buy more gift cards for the lottery or spend additional money on something else and that the Treasury encouraged to do that, but in the end the Marketing Committee decided to go with only two gift cards.

The Meet & Greet budget was put to the archives.
§.15 Notification item: Student Guide Project Plan Approved
Rapporteur: Ada Nuutti (Appendix 3)

Under the Objective and Execution Phase 1 it was questioned whether the cafés and restaurants will be featured in the Guide for free and whether it is a good idea to promote places considering that people should stay home now. Under Objective it should be changed that students can learn more about Föreningen Ekonomerna not SBS, and student association should be changed to business association. Under Execution phase 5 it should be clarified that ‘mailboxes’ refers to the welcoming email new students receive.

The Student Guide project plan was put to the archives with editorial changes.

§.16 Decision item: SM i Ekonomi 2021 Budget
Rapporteur: Alice Arabshahi & Alan Rashid (Appendix 4)

After what was discussed at the last Board meeting, no project leaders have been found for the competition so the Chair and Vice chair will act as a support for the final team and therefore the travel and participation expenses are lower. The expense for a gift for the sponsoring company was removed completely since it is very likely that the project group will not be able to get a sponsor. It was asked whether the expense will actually be the same no matter if the competition is physical or online. The arranger of the competition has not set out what the fee for the online version would be so there might be a refund.

We claim

that the Board approves the SM i Ekonomi 2021 Budget

Decision

that the Board approves the SM i Ekonomi 2021 Budget

Meeting was paused at 18.51.

Meeting was reopened at 19.04.

Alan Rashid was adjusted out at 19.04.
The plan includes both physical and online versions of the Ball and the plan is to have one pre-event, physical or online. The project group understands the uncertainty of the situation and is flexible. Right now, there are two venues that are almost booked and the President will have a meeting with the project group regarding the venue suggestion.

The main question regarding the plan was whether the Board should define the format of the event already or wait and whether we would be comfortable in having an in-person event in the case things were to open up. It was also discussed to what the decision should be based on. It was brought up that perhaps the physical event should not yet be fully ruled out since if it is possible to have a physical one it would probably be very appreciated. The possibility of the physical event was also discussed from the partners’ point of view, but it was concluded that the risk of losing partners by organizing a physical event is very minor.

The conditions under which the physical event could be an option were gone through. Several things should be taken into consideration, for example the closing time restrictions and amount of people. It was discussed if it’s worth having the physical event if the amount of people is very restricted since there is already a large amount of people who would have reserved tickets for the Ball. The Board concluded that the plan should include a deadline for making the final decision and the changes in restrictions under which the physical event can be considered.

The following things were decided should be included:
- the venues should be allowed to be open, and alcohol should be allowed to be served minimum until 22.00 or 23.00
- 6-8 people should be allowed per table
- the number of people allowed at a gathering should be at least 50 (the exact number of people we wish could attend will be decided at the next meeting)

All of the above conditions need to be fulfilled in order for the physical event to take place but details on each point can be discussed if needed. In addition, the project plan should include a covid-19 headline detailing the
safety measures (such as entry, seating) in case of a physical event. The final
decision about the format of the Ball will be made between week 16 and 17.

Furthermore, the date at the top of the project plan is in wrong format and
the date should be updated once the plan is updated.

I claim

that the Board approves the Spring Ball 2021 Project Plan

Decision

that the Board does not approve the Spring Ball 2021 Project Plan

§.18

Decision item: Club Role Description
Rapporteur: Luisa Dahlstedt (Appendix 6)

It was clarified that ‘Vice Chairs’ was added to the second point in the
document, however that version has not been sent into this meeting. But it
was stated that having a Vice Chair is not required for clubs and therefore,
it is not necessary to add that to the point. It was also suggested that in point
6 the sentence could be formulated along the lines of the Chair being
responsible together with the Board to elect a successor in case the Chair
wishes to step down. It was also discussed whether the title should be ‘Chair’
or something else since the title is not stipulated in any official documents
and since clubs do not operate the same way as the committees. Some
alternative titles were brought up as well as that the role description
document can be written without referring to the title and that whoever is
responsible for a club can themselves decide their title. Another suggestion
was to add a point about the appointment taken from the Statue to solve the
issue with the title.

I claim

that the Board approves the Club Role Description

Decision

that the Board does not approve the Club Role Description
§.19 Discussion item: Discussion Forum on Facebook
Rapporteur: Vlada Borets and Ada Nuutti (Appendix 7)

It was first explained that this point was sent in to get a clarification on who should be accepted to the Forum and which questions should be presented to those wishing to join. The Board agreed that no marketing or promoting opportunities should be allowed since we have other channels for that. It was shared that from a student’s point of view the Discussion Forum has been working well and if the questions were made mandatory it may limit people from joining. For example, old members might want to join the group to sell old books and there might be a risk that they are not accepted. The current joining questions were discussed and whether it would be beneficial to just make them mandatory. The discussion focused on the question of “Do you study at Stockholm University?” and whether people answering “yes” should be accepted only. It was stated that people joining should study at SU, but others can be accepted as well if they have a good reason to join. Another point of view was that joining should not be made hard but instead the group should have clear guidelines on what is okay to post. The group does have clear instructions and guidelines already. It was concluded that it is up to Ada and Vlada to come up with the most beneficial and efficient way of accepting members to the group since it is them working with the Forum on a daily basis.

§.20 Information item: Expenses during FS20
Rapporteur: Alice Arabshahi & Alan Rashid (Appendix 8)

The Treasury explained that the numbers in red are significant costs we are going to have during the spring semester. The file does not yet include real numbers and results from Fadderiet SS21 or ED. The result for the year is still based on an estimation because the real result is hard to state yet. Also, the extra money from the Social Sciences faculty is not included in this document because it is unclear under which category that money falls under.

§.21 Additional items

§.22 Up-coming events
- Finance Society crypto-event (09/03)
- ED 2nd pre-event: Recruitment Night with ED (10/03)
- Meet & Greet (11/03)
- Bord x OMG Afterwork (11/03)
- Quarantine Pub Quiz (12/03 and 19/03)
- ED fair week (22-25/03)

§.23 **To-do list**
- Treasury will keep looking at what they work most on

§.24 **Next meeting**
25th of March 18.00 on Zoom

§.25 **Meeting concluded**
Meeting concluded at 20.31.
Appendix 1

Notification item: Meet and Greet Project Plan Approved
Rapporteur: Ada Nuutti

Background: I approved the project plan for the Meet & Greet on the 4th of March.

Appendix 2

Notification item: Meet & Greet Budget Approved
Rapporteur: Alice Arabshahi & Alan Rashid

Background: The budget for Meet & Greet SS21 was approved the 5th of March. It was done on quite short notice and therefore the few costs compared to usual expenses for the Meet & Greet.

Appendix 3

Notification item: Student Guide Project Plan Approved
Rapporteur: Ada Nuutti

Background: I approved the project plan for next year’s Student Guide the 5th of March.

Appendix 4

Decision item: SM i Ekonomi 2021 Budget
Rapporteur: Alice Arabshahi & Alan Rashid

Background: After the feedback from the last Board meeting, Treasury had an additional meeting with the Business Committee chairs regarding needed changes to the budget. The revenue and expense sections have been changed to reflect that the project leaders will not be paying to participate, nor will they take up any expenses either. The chairs thought that given the deadline for their application to the
competition, they will be focusing on recruiting team members and act as project leaders themselves to assist the team that will compete. The project leaders also decided that they will not be able to gain any sponsors for the competition, so they decided to get rid of the thank-you gift for that. Also, at this time, it is too soon to know what the registration fee will be if the competition moves online, but it is expected that if the fee stays the same for now, there will be a refund later on.

We claim

that the Board approves the SM i Ekonomi 2021 Budget

Appendix 5

Decision item: Spring Ball 2021 Project Plan
Rapporteur: Luisa Dahlstedt

Background: With Spring Ball this year, the project leaders have created a project plan with two possibilities, one online and the other in person, both of which vary on the restrictions in place for Corona.

I claim

that the Board approves the Spring Ball 2021 Project Plan

Appendix 6

Decision item: Club Role Description
Rapporteur: Luisa Dahlstedt

Background: I have created a general role description for clubs as there is not much information available regarding their function.

I claim

that the Board approves the Club Role Description
Appendix 7

Discussion item: Discussion Forums on Facebook
Rapporteur: Vlada Borets and Ada Nuutti

Background: We have a group on Facebook where students can post if they want to buy/sell old course literature, find study partners/seminar groups and general study related queries. We have some guidelines when it comes to the nature of the posts, mainly no ads or job opportunities. Upon asking to become a member of the group you will also be met with the following three questions:

"How did you find this group?"
"Do you study at Stockholm University?"
"You hereby agree to the responsibility of not post any job opportunities"

Lately we have had trouble filtering some people as they have not answered the entrance questions (a reason can be that they were invited by a friend) or said that they do not study at SU - this is as we understand not a requirement, but it would really help if we could come up with a clearer purpose/guideline for this group to make it easier for us to approve/decline requests.

Appendix 8

Information item: Expenses during FS20
Rapporteur: Alice Arabshahi & Alan Rashid

Background: As we mentioned at the previous Board meeting, we have looked over the costs the Association has accrued thus far and what the outcome so far has been to be able to provide a quick overview of what costs we have had and how much we have "left" to spend. We have put in some numbers for the Spring as well, and some numbers in red for those that are significant. Notice that the numbers for Ekonomernas Dagar are the budgeted ones and that there will be some changes (for example banquet and company revenue has changed). Furthermore, the project is still ongoing so we will come back with a more accurate result in the beginning of April (same with Fadderiet SS21, we have not put in the actual result for it, but the outcome will be presented soon due to the project recently ending).